

Local Planning Committee
October 26, 2016
Central Office Building, 701 E. Main

Members present: Jessica Floyd, Killian Timoney, Lars Finneseth, Julane Mullins, Lynn Schentrup, Tracy Curtis, Brandi Peacher, Myron Thompson, LaMonte Nowlin, Tammy Franks. Staff present: Bill Wallace, Debbie Boian. Visitors: Valerie Honeycutt, Amanda Ferguson.

Meeting called to order at 5:31 p.m. There was no quorum.

Minutes will be approved at the next meeting

Bill Wallace began his presentation on the draft plan with a discussion of progress since last Wednesday. The plan that was reviewed at the last meeting was updated based on comments from the group last week. The rough draft was sent to Tim Lucas at KDE who reviewed and sent the plan back with comments. Bill reviewed the comments with the group.

First four items in category 1.a. were not changed, Julane reminded Bill to change the location of the elementary school to vicinity of Hamburg area and not Masterson Station. 2.a.1. will be Masterson Station.

Under category 1.b. KDE wanted more detail on secured vestibules – so Bill added the names of the 24 schools that needed those modifications. There were a total of 24 at an average cost of \$50,000 each.

The next five items were the Title IX projects broken down and identified as separate items.

Items number 7 and 8 were two other items where KDE asked for greater detail. Bill added an itemized list of spaces that would give SCAPA twice the amount of space to double their capacity from 250 students to 500 students (expansion of existing facility which also included expanded cafeteria, kitchen and administrative suite). Item 8 was a detailed breakdown of a performing arts space (theater) of 32,000-36,000 square feet.

Brandi – *Were the numbers on the last plan placeholders and these more detailed?* Yes, that is the reason why Tim Lucas asked for more detail. Item 7 is less than \$15 million and item 8 is something less than \$10 million. They simply wanted this in a format that they were used to seeing and the cost estimates will vary by building area, timing, etc.

What is on the plan is an addition to an existing building, but if the District finds land, and decides to do a new facility then can they still do that? Yes, we would have to write a letter and give them a rationale, but generally we could get approval.

Category 1.c. – major renovations of educational facilities, we put the non-traditional transitional academy there, there was a question about whether or not that was a new facility or a program to go into an existing facility. We clarified that we would place it in an existing facility.

The Newcomer academy at Linlee facility was questioned – we are moving that from a transitional facility to a permanent facility, so they were fine with that. The Special Education transition facility was okay with them.

At the high schools we were asked if we would like to add to the media center and cafeteria if we added 12 classrooms to the facilities. We added that to the plan. There is a placeholder to do that and the money to do a new or renovated space has been added.

Most all of the other projects were not changed, everything stayed the same as the version that was reviewed last week.

We will change the elementary school in category 2 to Masterson Station instead of Hamburg.

There was some question about the bus garage bay space – we had increased from 2 to 4 to make sure we had enough maintenance space. Nothing else in the category has changed. The administrative area with the bus driver waiting area stayed the same. The district need is \$338,490,093. 2013 plan reflected a \$315,000,000 unmet need.

All of the category 5 items – basically the auxiliary gymnasiums are not reflected in the unmet need as those projects cannot be completed with restricted funds. If we were to do those projects we would have to use general fund dollars.

Will we need to move some of these projects because of the bonding capacity? Should we move some of those to the second two years? If we put the projects in the number 1 category we can do those at will. If we move it down to 2 and we have to wait until all projects in category 1 are complete. If we leave more of the projects in category 1 we have more flexibility.

Myron announced we own property for the elementary school – out Richmond road fronting Edythe J. Hayes.

There being no other questions everyone was encouraged to come next week to the final public forum and to approve the plan, so that it can be reviewed by the Board at its November 10 plan meeting. Once the Board approves there will be a hearing, a hearing report will be submitted by the Hearing Officer (Tim Eaton) to be approved by the Board at the December plan meeting. The plan will then be formally submitted to the Kentucky Department of Education for approval at its February meeting.

Meeting ended at 6:00 p.m.